



Asymptotics of the Ground State Energy for Relativistic Atoms and Molecules

PDE and Analysis Seminar,
Hebrew University at Jerusalem

Victor Ivrii

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Thomas-Fermi theory
- 3 Reduction to one-particle problem
 - Reduction to one-particle problem. I
 - Reduction to one-particle problem. II
 - Reduction to one-particle problem. III
- 4 Semiclassics
 - Singular zone
 - Regular zone
 - Trace term and Scott correction term
- 5 Sharper estimate
- 6 Discussion
- 7 Self generated magnetic field
 - Set-up
 - Non-relativistic case
 - Relativistic case
 - Discussion

Introduction

The purpose of this work was:

- 1 To improve results of J. P. Solovej, T. Ø. Sørensen, W. L. Spitzer [SSS];
- 2 and L. Erdős, S. Fournais, J. P. Solovej [EFS2].

Introduction

The purpose of this work was:

- 1 To improve results of J. P. Solovej, T. Ø. Sørensen, W. L. Spitzer [SSS];
- 2 and L. Erdős, S. Fournais, J. P. Solovej [EFS2].

The functional analytic arguments in these papers are the best (and way better than I could produce on my own), and I use them heavily on certain stages of my analysis.

Introduction

The purpose of this work was:

- 1 To improve results of J. P. Solovej, T. Ø. Sørensen, W. L. Spitzer [SSS];
- 2 and L. Erdős, S. Fournais, J. P. Solovej [EFS2].

The functional analytic arguments in these papers are the best (and way better than I could produce on my own), and I use them heavily on certain stages of my analysis.

On the other hand, the microlocal semiclassical arguments require improvements, which we provide.

The framework

Let us consider the following operator (quantum Hamiltonian)

$$H = H_N := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} H_{V, x_j} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{e^2}{|x_j - x_k|} \quad (1)$$

on

$$\mathfrak{H} = \bigwedge_{1 \leq n \leq N} \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^q) \simeq \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \{1, \dots, q\}, \mathbb{C}) \quad (2)$$

with

$$H_V = T - V(x) \quad (3)$$

describing N same type particles in the external field with the scalar potential $-V$ and repulsing one another according to the Coulomb law; e is a charge of the electron, T is an **operator of the kinetic energy**.

Here $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$, potential $V(x)$ is assumed to be real-valued. Except when specifically mentioned we assume that

$$V(x) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \frac{Z_m e^2}{|x - y_m|} \quad (4)$$

where $Z_m e > 0$ and y_m are charges and locations of nuclei.

Here $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$, potential $V(x)$ is assumed to be real-valued. Except when specifically mentioned we assume that

$$V(x) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} \frac{Z_m e^2}{|x - y_m|} \quad (4)$$

where $Z_m > 0$ and y_m are charges and locations of nuclei.

There are two crucial questions: the quantum statistics and what is T ?

Quantum statistics

Assume that the particles (electrons) are *fermions*. This means that the Hamiltonian should be considered on the *Fock space* \mathfrak{H} defined by (2) of the functions antisymmetric with respect to all variables

$(x_1, \varsigma_1), \dots, (x_N, \varsigma_N)$ where $\varsigma_j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $q = 2$, are **spin variables**.

Kinetic energy operator

Non-magnetic, non-relativistic theory

In this the most basic case

$$T = \frac{1}{2m}(-i\hbar\nabla)^2, \quad (5)$$

where m is the mass of electron, \hbar is Planck constant.

Kinetic energy operator

Non-magnetic, non-relativistic theory

In this the most basic case

$$T = \frac{1}{2m}(-i\hbar\nabla)^2, \quad (5)$$

where m is the mass of electron, \hbar is Planck constant.

Non-magnetic, relativistic theory

In the the relativistic case

$$T = (c^2(-i\hbar\nabla)^2 + m^2c^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} - mc^2, \quad (6)$$

where c is the speed of light.

Scaling

Using the scaling by the spatial variables and by the energy we can make $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $e = \hbar = 1$; then Z_m do not change, in the relativistic theory $\beta := e^2/\hbar c$ also does not change.

Scaling

Using the scaling by the spatial variables and by the energy we can make $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $e = \hbar = 1$; then Z_m do not change, in the relativistic theory $\beta := e^2/\hbar c$ also does not change.

Therefore, in the non-relativistic case there is only one scaling parameter $Z = Z_1 + \dots + Z_M \asymp N$, while in the relativistic case there is also β .

Scaling

Using the scaling by the spatial variables and by the energy we can make $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $e = \hbar = 1$; then Z_m do not change, in the relativistic theory $\beta := e^2/\hbar c$ also does not change.

Therefore, in the non-relativistic case there is only one scaling parameter $Z = Z_1 + \dots + Z_M \asymp N$, while in the relativistic case there is also β .

Remark

However, if $M \geq 2$ there is another parameter

$$d = \min_{1 \leq m < m' \leq M} |y_m - y_{m'}| : \hbar^2/me^2. \quad (7)$$

and in relativistic settings $\hbar^2/me^2 \cdot \beta = \hbar/mc$ is called Compton wavelength.

Ground state energy

We are looking for the ground state energy, which is

$$\inf \text{Spec}(H_N) := \inf_{\Psi \in \mathfrak{H}, \|\Psi\|=1} (H_N \Psi, \Psi) \quad (8)$$

with $H_N = H_{N,V}$ (so operator is understood if necessary in the sense of forms).

Ground state energy

We are looking for the ground state energy, which is

$$\inf \text{Spec}(H_N) := \inf_{\Psi \in \mathfrak{H}, \|\Psi\|=1} (H_N \Psi, \Psi) \quad (8)$$

with $H_N = H_{N,V}$ (so operator is understood if necessary in the sense of forms).

Remark

While non-relativistic operator is always semi-bounded from below, its relativistic cousin is semi-bounded from below if and only if

$$\beta Z_m \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, M; \quad (9)$$

see [Herbst, Lieb-Yau]; we assume that $d \geq Z^{-1}$.

Thomas-Fermi theory

If electrons were not interacting between themselves but the field potential was $-W(x)$ then they would occupy lowest eigenvalues and ground state wave functions would be (anti-symmetrized)

$\phi_1(x_1, s_1)\phi_2(x_2, s_2) \dots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j and λ_j are eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $H = T - W(x)$.

Thomas-Fermi theory

If electrons were not interacting between themselves but the field potential was $-W(x)$ then they would occupy lowest eigenvalues and ground state wave functions would be (anti-symmetrized)

$\phi_1(x_1, s_1)\phi_2(x_2, s_2) \dots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j and λ_j are eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $H = T - W(x)$.

Then the local electron density would be $\rho_\Psi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\phi_j(x)|^2$

Thomas-Fermi theory

If electrons were not interacting between themselves but the field potential was $-W(x)$ then they would occupy lowest eigenvalues and ground state wave functions would be (anti-symmetrized)

$\phi_1(x_1, s_1)\phi_2(x_2, s_2) \dots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j and λ_j are eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $H = T - W(x)$.

Then the local electron density would be $\rho_\Psi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\phi_j(x)|^2$ and according to the [pointwise Weyl law](#)

$$\rho_\Psi(x) \approx P'(W + \nu) := q(2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\{\xi: T(\xi) - W(x) \leq \nu\}} d\xi, \quad (10)$$

where $\nu = \lambda_N$.

Thomas-Fermi theory

If electrons were not interacting between themselves but the field potential was $-W(x)$ then they would occupy lowest eigenvalues and ground state wave functions would be (anti-symmetrized)

$\phi_1(x_1, s_1)\phi_2(x_2, s_2) \dots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j and λ_j are eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $H = T - W(x)$.

Then the local electron density would be $\rho_\Psi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} |\phi_j(x)|^2$ and according to the [pointwise Weyl law](#)

$$\rho_\Psi(x) \approx P'(W + \nu) := q(2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\{\xi: T(\xi) - W(x) \leq \nu\}} d\xi, \quad (10)$$

where $\nu = \lambda_N$.

This density would generate potential $-|x|^{-1} * \rho_\Psi$ and we would have $W \approx V - |x|^{-1} * \rho_\Psi$.

Replacing all approximate equalities by a strict ones we arrive to Thomas-Fermi equations:

$$V - W^{\text{TF}} = |x|^{-1} * \rho^{\text{TF}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\rho^{\text{TF}} = P'(W + \nu), \quad (12)$$

$$\int \rho^{\text{TF}} dx = \min(N, Z), \quad (13)$$

where $\nu \leq 0$ is called **chemical potential** and in fact approximates λ_N .

Replacing all approximate equalities by a strict ones we arrive to Thomas-Fermi equations:

$$V - W^{\text{TF}} = |x|^{-1} * \rho^{\text{TF}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\rho^{\text{TF}} = P'(W + \nu), \quad (12)$$

$$\int \rho^{\text{TF}} dx = \min(N, Z), \quad (13)$$

where $\nu \leq 0$ is called **chemical potential** and in fact approximates λ_N . In the non-relativistic case

$$P'(W + \nu) = \frac{q}{6\pi^2} (W + \nu)_+^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (14)$$

and in the relativistic case we have

$$P'_*(W + \nu) = \frac{q}{6\pi^2} (W + \nu)_+^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + c^{-2}(W + \nu))^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (15)$$

We need also to know its primitive which in non-relativistic case is simple

$$P(W + \nu) = \frac{q}{15\pi^2} (W + \nu)_+^{\frac{5}{2}}; \quad (16)$$

in the relativistic case $P_*(W + \nu)$ is an elementary function as well and a sadistic Calculus instructor can give it on the test.

We need also to know its primitive which in non-relativistic case is simple

$$P(W + \nu) = \frac{q}{15\pi^2} (W + \nu)_+^{\frac{5}{2}}; \quad (16)$$

in the relativistic case $P_*(W + \nu)$ is an elementary function as well and a sadistic Calculus instructor can give it on the test. However it turns out that we really do not need any separate relativistic Thomas-Fermi theory.

We need also to know its primitive which in non-relativistic case is simple

$$P(W + \nu) = \frac{q}{15\pi^2} (W + \nu)_+^{\frac{5}{2}}; \quad (16)$$

in the relativistic case $P_*(W + \nu)$ is an elementary function as well and a sadistic Calculus instructor can give it on the test. However it turns out that we really do not need any separate relativistic Thomas-Fermi theory.

Remark

- 1 It looks strange because even $\int P'_*(W + \nu) dx$ logarithmically diverges at y_m but in the zones $\{x: |x - y_m| \leq Z^{-1}\}$ effective semiclassical parameter is $\gtrsim 1$, so Weyl approximation is wrong here anyway, and we will estimate nicely the contribution of these zones.

We need also to know its primitive which in non-relativistic case is simple

$$P(W + \nu) = \frac{q}{15\pi^2} (W + \nu)_+^{\frac{5}{2}}; \quad (16)$$

in the relativistic case $P_*(W + \nu)$ is an elementary function as well and a sadistic Calculus instructor can give it on the test. However it turns out that we really do not need any separate relativistic Thomas-Fermi theory.

Remark

- ① It looks strange because even $\int P'_*(W + \nu) dx$ logarithmically diverges at y_m but in the zones $\{x: |x - y_m| \leq Z^{-1}\}$ effective semiclassical parameter is $\gtrsim 1$, so Weyl approximation is wrong here anyway, and we will estimate nicely the contribution of these zones.
- ② Meanwhile, $\int P_*(W + \nu) dx$ diverges at 0 in more malicious way but we will need to regularize both it and $\int P(W + \nu) dx$ by subtracting $\int P_*(V_m) dx$ and $\int P(V_m) dx$ respectively, $V_m = Z_m|x - y_m|^{-1}$.

Reduction to one-particle problem. I

The original problem can be reduced to one-particle problem. Actually, there are two different reductions: in the estimate from below and in the estimate from above. We start from the the estimate from below.

Reduction to one-particle problem. I

The original problem can be reduced to one-particle problem. Actually, there are two different reductions: in the estimate from below and in the estimate from above. We start from the the estimate from below. In the non-relativistic case one uses [Lieb's electrostatic inequality](#)

$$\left(\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \Psi, \Psi \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} D(\rho_\Psi, \rho_\Psi) - C \int \rho_\Psi^{\frac{4}{3}} dx \quad (17)$$

with

$$D(f, g) := \int |x - y|^{-1} f(x) g(y) dx dy \quad (18)$$

and

$$\rho_\Psi(x) = N \int |\Psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N \quad (19)$$

which is a spatial density of the system in the state Ψ .

Heuristically the first term in the right-hand expression of (17) is a potential energy of the electron cloud.

Heuristically the first term in the right-hand expression of (17) is a potential energy of the electron cloud.

This inequality holds for any $\Psi \in \otimes_{1 \leq j \leq N} \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^q)$, not necessarily fermionic, or a ground state.

Heuristically the first term in the right-hand expression of (17) is a potential energy of the electron cloud.

This inequality holds for any $\Psi \in \otimes_{1 \leq j \leq N} \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^q)$, not necessarily fermionic, or a ground state.

But it has proven that for fermionic ground state Ψ in the non-relativistic case

$$\int \rho_{\Psi}^{\frac{4}{3}} dx \leq CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}. \quad (20)$$

Heuristically the first term in the right-hand expression of (17) is a potential energy of the electron cloud.

This inequality holds for any $\Psi \in \otimes_{1 \leq j \leq N} \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^q)$, not necessarily fermionic, or a ground state.

But it has proven that for fermionic ground state Ψ in the non-relativistic case

$$\int \rho_{\Psi}^{\frac{4}{3}} dx \leq CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}. \quad (20)$$

Then

$$(H_N \Psi, \Psi) \geq \sum_k (H_{V,k} \Psi, \Psi) + \frac{1}{2} D(\rho_{\Psi}, \rho_{\Psi}) - CZ^{\frac{5}{3}} \quad (21)$$

and the first two terms in the right-hand expression could be rewritten as

$$\sum_k (H_{W,k} \Psi, \Psi) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) + \frac{1}{2} D(\rho_{\Psi} - \rho, \rho_{\Psi} - \rho) \quad (22)$$

with arbitrary ρ and $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$.

Since operators $H_{W,k}$ act by different variables

$$\sum_k (H_{W,k} \Psi, \Psi) \geq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N'} \lambda_j \quad (23)$$

where N' is either N or the number of negative eigenvalues of H_W , whatever is less (we take ρ such that the negative spectrum of H_W is discrete).

Since operators $H_{W,k}$ act by different variables

$$\sum_k (H_{W,k} \Psi, \Psi) \geq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N'} \lambda_j \geq \text{Tr}((H_W - \nu)^-) + \nu N \quad (23)$$

where N' is either N or the number of negative eigenvalues of H_W , whatever is less (we take ρ such that the negative spectrum of H_W is discrete). In both cases we have the second inequality (23) with arbitrary $\nu \leq 0$.

Since operators $H_{W,k}$ act by different variables

$$\sum_k (H_{W,k} \Psi, \Psi) \geq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N'} \lambda_j \geq \text{Tr}((H_W - \nu)^-) + \nu N \quad (23)$$

where N' is either N or the number of negative eigenvalues of H_W , whatever is less (we take ρ such that the negative spectrum of H_W is discrete). In both cases we have the second inequality (23) with arbitrary $\nu \leq 0$. So

$$E_N \geq \text{Tr}((H_{W+\nu})^-) + \nu N - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) - CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}. \quad (24)$$

Since operators $H_{W,k}$ act by different variables

$$\sum_k (H_{W,k} \Psi, \Psi) \geq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N'} \lambda_j \geq \text{Tr}((H_W - \nu)^-) + \nu N \quad (23)$$

where N' is either N or the number of negative eigenvalues of H_W , whatever is less (we take ρ such that the negative spectrum of H_W is discrete). In both cases we have the second inequality (23) with arbitrary $\nu \leq 0$. So

$$E_N \geq \text{Tr}((H_{W+\nu})^-) + \nu N - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}. \quad (24)$$

Remark

Actually, in the right-hand expression is also a “bonus term” $\frac{1}{2} D(\rho_\Psi - \rho, \rho_\Psi - \rho)$; we call it so because we do not need it but we estimate it also as a bonus.

Consider the semiclassical approximation to the **trace term**

$$\mathrm{Tr}((H_{W+\nu})^-) \approx - \int P(W + \nu) dx \quad (25)$$

and we arrive to

$$E_N \approx - \int P(W + \nu) dx - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) + \nu N, \quad (26)$$

Consider the semiclassical approximation to the **trace term**

$$\mathrm{Tr}((H_{W+\nu})^-) \approx - \int P(W + \nu) dx \quad (25)$$

and we arrive to

$$E_N \gtrsim - \int P(W + \nu) dx - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) + \nu N, \quad (26)$$

and the right-hand expression should be maximized by the choice of ρ , $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ and $\nu \leq 0$.

Consider the semiclassical approximation to the **trace term**

$$\text{Tr}((H_{W+\nu})^-) \approx - \int P(W + \nu) dx \quad (25)$$

and we arrive to

$$E_N \gtrsim - \int P(W + \nu) dx - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) + \nu N, \quad (26)$$

and the right-hand expression should be maximized by the choice of ρ , $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ and $\nu \leq 0$.

It turns out that the optimal choice are Thomas-Fermi potential W^{TF} and density ρ^{TF} and a chemical potential ν i.e. solutions of (11)–(13)

$$V - W^{\text{TF}} = |x|^{-1} * \rho^{\text{TF}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\rho^{\text{TF}} = P'(W + \nu), \quad (12)$$

$$\int \rho^{\text{TF}} dx = \min(N, Z). \quad (13)$$

Consider the semiclassical approximation to the **trace term**

$$\mathrm{Tr}((H_{W+\nu})^-) \approx - \int P(W + \nu) dx \quad (25)$$

and we arrive to

$$E_N \gtrsim - \int P(W + \nu) dx - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) + \nu N, \quad (26)$$

and the right-hand expression should be maximized by the choice of ρ , $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ and $\nu \leq 0$.

It turns out that the optimal choice are Thomas-Fermi potential W^{TF} and density ρ^{TF} and a chemical potential ν i.e. solutions of (11)–(13) and we call the result **Thomas-Fermi energy** and denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{TF}}$.

It has a magnitude $Z^{\frac{7}{3}}$ and the error here consist of two parts: semiclassical error in the trace term and $\asymp Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$ from electrostatic inequality.

Currently semiclassical error is $\asymp Z^2$ but we will improve it with Scott correction term; still ρ^{TF} etc will remain our choice.

Reduction to one-particle problem. II

Let us take a test function $\Psi = \Psi(x_1, s_1; \dots; x_N, s_N)$ antisymmetrized product $\phi_1(x_1, s_1) \cdots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j are eigenfunctions of H_W corresponding to negative eigenvalues λ_j .

Reduction to one-particle problem. II

Let us take a test function $\Psi = \Psi(x_1, s_1; \dots; x_N, s_N)$ antisymmetrized product $\phi_1(x_1, s_1) \cdots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j are eigenfunctions of H_W corresponding to negative eigenvalues λ_j .

If $N^-(H_W) < N$ where $N^-(H_W)$ is the number of the negative eigenvalues (essential spectrum occupies $[0, \infty)$) then we increase E_N replacing N by a lesser value $N^-(H_W)$.

Reduction to one-particle problem. II

Let us take a test function $\Psi = \Psi(x_1, s_1; \dots; x_N, s_N)$ antisymmetrized product $\phi_1(x_1, s_1) \cdots \phi_N(x_N, s_N)$ where ϕ_j are eigenfunctions of H_W corresponding to negative eigenvalues λ_j .

If $N^-(H_W) < N$ where $N^-(H_W)$ is the number of the negative eigenvalues (essential spectrum occupies $[0, \infty)$) then we increase E_N replacing N by a lesser value $N^-(H_W)$.

Then

$$E_N \leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \lambda_j + \frac{1}{2} D(\rho_\Psi - \rho, \rho_\Psi - \rho) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - \frac{1}{2} \int |x - y|^{-1} \cdot |e_N(x, y)|^2 dx dy$$

where $e_N(x, y) = e(x, y, \lambda_N + 0)$ and $\rho_\Psi(x) = \text{tr } e_N(x, x)$, tr means the matrix trace.

Note that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \lambda_j \leq \text{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-) + \nu N + |\lambda_N - \nu| \cdot |N^-(H_{W+\lambda_N \mp 0}) - N^-(H_{W+\nu \pm 0})|$$

where the last factor estimates the number of eigenvalues in $[\lambda_N, \nu]$ (but $\nu = 0$ is excluded from this interval) and we consider both cases $\lambda_N \leq \nu \leq 0$ and $\nu < \lambda_N < 0$

Note that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \lambda_j \leq \text{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-) + \nu N + |\lambda_N - \nu| \cdot |\mathcal{N}^-(H_{W+\lambda_N \mp 0}) - \mathcal{N}^-(H_{W+\nu \pm 0})|$$

where the last factor estimates the number of eigenvalues in $[\lambda_N, \nu]$ (but $\nu = 0$ is excluded from this interval) and we consider both cases $\lambda_N \leq \nu \leq 0$ and $\nu < \lambda_N < 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} D(e_N(x, x) - \rho, e_N(x, x) - \rho) \leq D(e(x, x, \nu) - \rho, e(x, x, \nu) - \rho) + \\ D(e(x, x, \nu) - e_N(x, x), e(x, x, \nu) - e_N(x, x)).$$

If we skip temporarily dimmed terms,

If we skip temporarily dimmed terms, replace $\text{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-)$ by its Weyl approximation,

If we skip temporarily dimmed terms, replace $\text{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-)$ by its Weyl approximation, and $e(x, x, \nu)$ by its pointwise Weyl approximation $P'(W(x) + \nu)$,

If we skip temporarily dimmed terms, replace $\text{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-)$ by its Weyl approximation, and $e(x, x, \nu)$ by its pointwise Weyl approximation $P'(W(x) + \nu)$, we get

$$E_N \lesssim - \int P(W(x) + \nu) dx - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) + \nu N \\ + D(P'(W + \nu) - \rho, P'(W + \nu) - \rho)$$

and minimizing the right-hand expression with respect to W, ν (recalling that $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$) we again arrive to $W = W^{\text{TF}}$ etc.

So in the non-relativistic case both estimates we got the same answer

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-) + \nu N - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) \approx \\ - \int P(W + \nu) dx + \nu N - \frac{1}{2}D(\rho, \rho) \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

with $W = W^{\text{TF}}$ etc except

- ① there is a term $-CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ in the estimate from below,
- ② in the estimate from above there are some semiclassical errors,
- ③ and the transition from the trace term on the left to its semiclassical expression on the right will be reexamined.

Reduction to one-particle problem. III

But what about relativistic case?

Reduction to one-particle problem. III

But what about relativistic case?

- 1 It turns out that under assumption

$$\beta Z_m \leq \frac{2}{\pi} - \epsilon \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, M \quad (9)^*$$

inequality (20) $\int \rho_{\Psi}^{\frac{4}{3}} dx \leq CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ holds for the ground state;

- 2 But we don't know it is the case under (9);
- 3 And the semiclassical errors are not purely semiclassical anymore because while operator is relativistic, we write Weyl expressions for non-relativistic one.

To remedy the first issue we will use the **correlation inequality** [SSS]

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^N (|x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon)(x_j) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CN\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is any function, $\phi \geq 0$ is spherically symmetric, with $\int \phi dx = 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-3} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$.

To remedy the first issue we will use the **correlation inequality** [SSS]

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^N (|x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon)(x_j) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CN\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is any function, $\phi \geq 0$ is spherically symmetric, with $\int \phi dx = 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-3} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$.

Then in operator $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ is replaced by $W_\varepsilon = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon$,

To remedy the first issue we will use the **correlation inequality** [SSS]

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^N (|x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon)(x_j) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CN\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is any function, $\phi \geq 0$ is spherically symmetric, with $\int \phi dx = 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-3} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$.

Then in operator $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ is replaced by $W_\varepsilon = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon$, which leads to a relative error $\min(\varepsilon^2 \ell(x)^{-2}, 1)$ ($\ell_m(x) = \min |x - y_m|$ is the distance to the nearest nucleus) in pointwise Weyl expressions,

To remedy the first issue we will use the **correlation inequality** [SSS]

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^N (|x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon)(x_j) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CN\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is any function, $\phi \geq 0$ is spherically symmetric, with $\int \phi dx = 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-3} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$.

Then in operator $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ is replaced by $W_\varepsilon = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon$, which leads to a relative error $\min(\varepsilon^2 \ell(x)^{-2}, 1)$ ($\ell_m(x) = \min |x - y_m|$ is the distance to the nearest nucleus) in pointwise Weyl expressions, and to the error $\asymp \varepsilon^2 Z^3$ in $\int P(W + \nu) dx$.

To remedy the first issue we will use the **correlation inequality** [SSS]

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^N (|x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon)(x_j) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CN\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is any function, $\phi \geq 0$ is spherically symmetric, with $\int \phi dx = 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-3} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$.

Then in operator $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ is replaced by $W_\varepsilon = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon$, which leads to a relative error $\min(\varepsilon^2 \ell(x)^{-2}, 1)$ ($\ell_m(x) = \min |x - y_m|$ is the distance to the nearest nucleus) in pointwise Weyl expressions, and to the error $\asymp \varepsilon^2 Z^3$ in $\int P(W + \nu) dx$.

Minimizing $\varepsilon^2 Z^3 + \varepsilon^{-1} Z$, we get $\varepsilon = Z^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and an error $\asymp Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$.

To remedy the first issue we will use the **correlation inequality** [SSS]

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j - x_k|^{-1} \geq \sum_{j=1}^N (|x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon)(x_j) - \frac{1}{2} D(\rho, \rho) - CN\varepsilon^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where $\rho \geq 0$ is any function, $\phi \geq 0$ is spherically symmetric, with $\int \phi dx = 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-3} \phi(x/\varepsilon)$.

Then in operator $W = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho$ is replaced by $W_\varepsilon = V - |x|^{-1} * \rho * \phi_\varepsilon$, which leads to a relative error $\min(\varepsilon^2 \ell(x)^{-2}, 1)$ ($\ell_m(x) = \min |x - y_m|$ is the distance to the nearest nucleus) in pointwise Weyl expressions, and to the error $\asymp \varepsilon^2 Z^3$ in $\int P(W + \nu) dx$.

Minimizing $\varepsilon^2 Z^3 + \varepsilon^{-1} Z$, we get $\varepsilon = Z^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and an error $\asymp Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$.

And we do not have “bonus term” $\frac{1}{2} D(\rho_\Psi - \rho, \rho_\Psi - \rho)$, which is a bad news for some applications.

Semiclassics: singular zone

First, we need to do something in the **singular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \leq Z^{-1}\}$ where $P'_*(W + \mu) \asymp \ell(x)^{-3}$, $P_*(W + \mu) \asymp \ell(x)^{-4}$ and all integrals in the semiclassical expressions are diverging.

Semiclassics: singular zone

First, we need to do something in the **singular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \leq Z^{-1}\}$ where $P'_*(W + \mu) \asymp \ell(x)^{-3}$, $P_*(W + \mu) \asymp \ell(x)^{-4}$ and all integrals in the semiclassical expressions are diverging.

But effective semiclassical parameter is $\gtrsim 1$ here anyway, so semiclassics is wrong,

Semiclassics: singular zone

First, we need to do something in the **singular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \leq Z^{-1}\}$ where $P'_*(W + \mu) \asymp \ell(x)^{-3}$, $P_*(W + \mu) \asymp \ell(x)^{-4}$ and all integrals in the semiclassical expressions are diverging.

But effective semiclassical parameter is $\gtrsim 1$ here anyway, so semiclassics is wrong, and we need to estimate properly $e(x, x, \tau)$ here where $e(x, y, \tau)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projector of H_W .

Using Lieb-Yau inequality [Lieb-Yau]

$$\sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2}{\pi|X|} \geq A_s \Delta^s - B_s \quad (29)$$

for any $s \in [0, 1/2)$ and $A_s, B_s > 0$ (recall that $\Delta = (-i\nabla)^2$ is a positive Laplacian),

Using Lieb-Yau inequality [Lieb-Yau]

$$\sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2}{\pi|x|} \geq A_s \Delta^s - B_s \quad (29)$$

for any $s \in [0, 1/2)$ and $A_s, B_s > 0$ (recall that $\Delta = (-i\nabla)^2$ is a positive Laplacian), we prove that

$$e(x, x, \tau) \leq CZ^{1-\delta} \ell(x)^{\delta-2} \quad \text{for } \ell(x) \leq Z^{-1}, \tau \leq C_0 Z^2 \quad (30)$$

with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.

Using Lieb-Yau inequality [Lieb-Yau]

$$\sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2}{\pi|x|} \geq A_s \Delta^s - B_s \quad (29)$$

for any $s \in [0, 1/2)$ and $A_s, B_s > 0$ (recall that $\Delta = (-i\nabla)^2$ is a positive Laplacian), we prove that

$$e(x, x, \tau) \leq CZ^{1-\delta} \ell(x)^{\delta-2} \quad \text{for } \ell(x) \leq Z^{-1}, \tau \leq C_0 Z^2 \quad (30)$$

with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.

Then contributions of the singular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$,

$D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed C , CZ and CZ^2 respectively, exactly as in non-relativistic case.

Using Lieb-Yau inequality [Lieb-Yau]

$$\sqrt{\Delta} - \frac{2}{\pi|x|} \geq A_s \Delta^s - B_s \quad (29)$$

for any $s \in [0, 1/2)$ and $A_s, B_s > 0$ (recall that $\Delta = (-i\nabla)^2$ is a positive Laplacian), we prove that

$$e(x, x, \tau) \leq CZ^{1-\delta} \ell(x)^{\delta-2} \quad \text{for } \ell(x) \leq Z^{-1}, \tau \leq C_0 Z^2 \quad (30)$$

with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.

Then contributions of the singular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$,

$D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed C , CZ and CZ^2 respectively, exactly as in non-relativistic case.

Two former are too small to care and the latter will be dealt properly later.

Semiclassics: regular zone

In the **regular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \geq Z^{-1}\}$ semiclassical errors are exactly as in the non-relativistic case and the contributions of the regular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$, $D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed $CZ^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ and CZ^2 respectively.

Semiclassics: regular zone

In the **regular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \geq Z^{-1}\}$ semiclassical errors are exactly as in the non-relativistic case and the contributions of the regular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$, $D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed $CZ^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ and CZ^2 respectively.

Two former are exactly what we need and the latter will be dealt properly later.

Semiclassics: regular zone

In the **regular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \geq Z^{-1}\}$ semiclassical errors are exactly as in the non-relativistic case and the contributions of the regular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$, $D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed $CZ^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ and CZ^2 respectively.

Two former are exactly what we need and the latter will be dealt properly later.

There are also errors due to the fact that for relativistic operator we write non-relativistic Weyl expression, but in the regular zone

$$P'_*(W + \nu) - P'(W + \nu) \asymp \beta^2 W^{\frac{5}{2}}, \quad P_*(W + \nu) - P(W + \nu) \asymp \beta^2 W^{\frac{7}{2}},$$

Semiclassics: regular zone

In the **regular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \geq Z^{-1}\}$ semiclassical errors are exactly as in the non-relativistic case and the contributions of the regular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$, $D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed $CZ^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ and CZ^2 respectively.

Two former are exactly what we need and the latter will be dealt properly later.

There are also errors due to the fact that for relativistic operator we write non-relativistic Weyl expression, but in the regular zone

$P'_*(W + \nu) - P'(W + \nu) \asymp \beta^2 W^{\frac{5}{2}}$, $P_*(W + \nu) - P(W + \nu) \asymp \beta^2 W^{\frac{7}{2}}$,
 which leads to the error $\asymp \beta^2 Z^{\frac{11}{3}} \lesssim Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$ in the semiclassical expression for the trace term,

Semiclassics: regular zone

In the **regular zone** $\{x: \ell(x) \geq Z^{-1}\}$ semiclassical errors are exactly as in the non-relativistic case and the contributions of the regular zone to $\int e(x, x, \tau) dx$, $D(e(x, x, \tau), e(x, x, \tau))$ and $\int \int^\tau e(x, x, \tau') d\tau' dx$ do not exceed $CZ^{\frac{2}{3}}$, $CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}$ and CZ^2 respectively.

Two former are exactly what we need and the latter will be dealt properly later.

There are also errors due to the fact that for relativistic operator we write non-relativistic Weyl expression, but in the regular zone

$P'_*(W + \nu) - P'(W + \nu) \asymp \beta^2 W^{\frac{5}{2}}$, $P_*(W + \nu) - P(W + \nu) \asymp \beta^2 W^{\frac{7}{2}}$, which leads to the error $\asymp \beta^2 Z^{\frac{11}{3}} \lesssim Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$ in the semiclassical expression for the trace term, and to the errors which are too small to care in all other terms.

Trace term and Scott correction term

To get an error better than $O(Z^2)$ we need to consider

$$\mathrm{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-) + \int P(W + \nu) dx = \int \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{tr} e(x, x, \tau) d\tau + P(W + \nu) \right) dx. \quad (31)$$

Trace term and Scott correction term

To get an error better than $O(Z^2)$ we need to consider

$$\mathrm{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-) + \int P(W + \nu) dx = \int \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{tr} e(x, x, \tau) d\tau + P(W + \nu) \right) dx. \quad (31)$$

Let $d \geq Z^{-1}$ be a minimal distance between nuclei, or $Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, whatever is smaller, and $1 = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 1} \psi_m$ where ψ_m are supported in $d/2$ -vicinities of y_m , and ψ_0 is supported in $\{x: \ell(x) \geq d/4\}$.

Trace term and Scott correction term

To get an error better than $O(Z^2)$ we need to consider

$$\mathrm{Tr}(H_{W+\nu}^-) + \int P(W + \nu) dx = \int \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{tr} e(x, x, \tau) d\tau + P(W + \nu) \right) dx. \quad (31)$$

Let $d \geq Z^{-1}$ be a minimal distance between nuclei, or $Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, whatever is smaller, and $1 = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 1} \psi_m$ where ψ_m are supported in $d/2$ -vicinities of y_m , and ψ_0 is supported in $\{x: \ell(x) \geq d/4\}$. Then using the standard technique, using cut-off ψ_0 in the right-hand expression of (31) we get $O(Z^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

On the other hand, using the same technique as in the non-relativistic case, see V. Ivrii, [Ivr1], Chapter 25, one can prove that for $m = 1, \dots, M$ the difference between (31) with ψ_m cut-off, and the same expression but with $W + \nu$ replaced by $V_m = Z_m|x - y_m|^{-1}$, both in the definition of operator and Weyl expression, also is $O(Z^{\frac{3}{2}}d^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

On the other hand, using the same technique as in the non-relativistic case, see V. Ivrii, [Ivr1], Chapter 25, one can prove that for $m = 1, \dots, M$ the difference between (31) with ψ_m cut-off, and the same expression but with $W + \nu$ replaced by $V_m = Z_m|x - y_m|^{-1}$, both in the definition of operator and Weyl expression, also is $O(Z^{\frac{3}{2}}d^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Further, in the same way in the right-hand expressions of (31) for such operators we can drop ψ_m with the same error, thus arriving to the sum of

$$\int \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \operatorname{tr} e_m(x, x, \tau) d\tau + P(V_m) \right) dx. \quad (32)_m$$

On the other hand, using the same technique as in the non-relativistic case, see V. Ivrii, [Ivr1], Chapter 25, one can prove that for $m = 1, \dots, M$ the difference between (31) with ψ_m cut-off, and the same expression but with $W + \nu$ replaced by $V_m = Z_m|x - y_m|^{-1}$, both in the definition of operator and Weyl expression, also is $O(Z_m^{\frac{3}{2}}d^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Further, in the same way in the right-hand expressions of (31) for such operators we can drop ψ_m with the same error, thus arriving to the sum of

$$\int \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \operatorname{tr} e_m(x, x, \tau) d\tau + P(V_m) \right) dx. \quad (32)_m$$

In each such expression we can take $y_m = 0$. Then scaling $x \mapsto Z_m x$ we get $qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m)$ where $S(k)$ is delivered by $(32)_m$ with $\beta := \beta Z_m$, $Z_m := 1$, and $q := 1$.

The rest is no different from non-relativistic case and we arrive to

Theorem 1

Let $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $e = \hbar = 1$, $\beta := e^2/\hbar c$. Assume that (9) holds and $d \geq Z^{-1}$.
Then

①

$$E_N = \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m) + O(Z^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}}). \quad (33)$$

The rest is no different from non-relativistic case and we arrive to

Theorem 1

Let $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $e = \hbar = 1$, $\beta := e^2/\hbar c$. Assume that (9) holds and $d \geq Z^{-1}$. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad E_N = \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m) + O(Z^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}}). \quad (33)$$

$\textcircled{2}$ In particular, for $d \geq Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$

$$E_N = \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m) + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}}). \quad (34)$$

The rest is no different from non-relativistic case and we arrive to

Theorem 1

Let $m = \frac{1}{2}$, $e = \hbar = 1$, $\beta := e^2/\hbar c$. Assume that (9) holds and $d \geq Z^{-1}$. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad E_N = \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m) + O(Z^{\frac{3}{2}} d^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}}). \quad (33)$$

$\textcircled{2}$ In particular, for $d \geq Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$

$$E_N = \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m) + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}}). \quad (34)$$

$\textcircled{3}$ Further, under condition (9)*

$$D(\rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}, \rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}) \leq CZ^{\frac{5}{3}}. \quad (35)$$

Sharper estimate

Can we do better than (34)?

Sharper estimate

Can we do better than (34)? In the non-relativistic case we could, provided $d \gg Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ (we do not reset it to $Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$):

$$E_N = \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\beta Z_m) + \text{Dirac} + \text{Schwinger} + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}) \quad (36)$$

with Dirac and Schwinger correction terms of magnitude $Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$ each:

$$\text{Dirac} = -\frac{9}{2}(36\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{-\frac{1}{3}} \int (\rho^{\text{TF}})^{\frac{4}{3}} dx, \quad (37)$$

$$\text{Schwinger} = (36\pi)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{-\frac{1}{3}} \int (\rho^{\text{TF}})^{\frac{4}{3}} dx \quad (38)$$

What about relativistic case?

What about relativistic case?

- The semiclassical errors are $O(Z^{\frac{2}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{2}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta})$ in $\int e(x, x, 0) dx$ and $O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta})$ in all other terms.

What about relativistic case?

- The semiclassical errors are $O(Z^{\frac{2}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{2}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta})$ in $\int e(x, x, 0) dx$ and $O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta})$ in all other terms.
- Schwinger, coming from the semiclassical expression from the trace term, and Dirac, coming from $-Z \iint |x - y|^{-1} |e(x, y, 0)|^2 dx dy$ in the estimate from above remain.

What about relativistic case?

- The semiclassical errors are $O(Z^{\frac{2}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{2}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta})$ in $\int e(x, x, 0) dx$ and $O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta})$ in all other terms.
- Schwinger, coming from the semiclassical expression from the trace term, and Dirac, coming from $-Z \iint |x - y|^{-1} |e(x, y, 0)|^2 dx dy$ in the estimate from above remain.
- Appears a new, **relativistic correction term**, again coming from the trace term, and equal to

$$\int \left(-P_*(W + \nu) + P(W + \nu) + P_*(V) - P(V) \right) dx \quad (39)$$

which equal modulo the same error to

$$\text{RCT} := \frac{q}{6\pi^2 c^2} \int \left(-(W + \nu)^{\frac{7}{2}} + V^{\frac{7}{2}} \right) dx \quad (40)$$

of the magnitude $\beta^2 Z^{\frac{11}{3}}$.

Theorem 2

In the framework of Theorem 1 let condition (9)* be fulfilled and $d \geq Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Then

$$E_N \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\alpha Z_m) + \text{Dirac} + \text{Schwinger} + \text{RCT} + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}) \quad (34)^*$$

and

$$D(\rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}, \rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}) = O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}). \quad (35)^*$$

Theorem 2

In the framework of Theorem 1 let condition (9)* be fulfilled and $d \geq Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Then

$$E_N \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\alpha Z_m) + \text{Dirac} + \text{Schwinger} + \text{RCT} + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}) \quad (34)^*$$

and

$$D(\rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}, \rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}) = O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}). \quad (35)^*$$

Remark

We do not need (9)* for this estimate from above.

Theorem 2

In the framework of Theorem 1 let condition (9)* be fulfilled and $d \geq Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Then

$$E_N \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\alpha Z_m) + \text{Dirac} + \text{Schwinger} + \text{RCT} + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}) \quad (34)^*$$

and

$$D(\rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}, \rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}) = O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}). \quad (35)^*$$

Remark

We do not need (9)* for this estimate from above. In the non-relativistic case to get the estimate from below we use improved electrostatic inequality, due to V. Bach [Bach] and G. M. Graf-J. P. Solovej [GS].

Theorem 2

In the framework of Theorem 1 let condition (9)* be fulfilled and $d \geq Z^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Then

$$E_N \leq \mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M} qZ_m^2 S(\alpha Z_m) + \text{Dirac} + \text{Schwinger} + \text{RCT} + O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}) \quad (34)^*$$

and

$$D(\rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}, \rho_\Psi - \rho^{\text{TF}}) = O(Z^{\frac{5}{3}-\delta} + Z^{\frac{5}{3}+\frac{\delta}{3}} d^{-\delta}). \quad (35)^*$$

Remark

We do not need (9)* for this estimate from above. In the non-relativistic case to get the estimate from below we use improved electrostatic inequality, due to V. Bach [Bach] and G. M. Graf-J. P. Solovej [GS]. We can prove it in the relativistic case under assumption (9)* but we do not have similarly improved correlation inequality (at least, I don't know those).

Discussion: corollaries

- ① Like in non-relativistic case the same results hold in the **free nuclei model** when E_N is replaced by

$$\hat{E}_N = E_N + \sum_{1 \leq m < m' \leq M} \frac{Z_m Z_{m'} e^2}{|y_m - y_{m'}|}, \quad (41)$$

which is minimized by y_m . Then the minimal distance between nuclei $d \gtrsim Z^{-\frac{5}{21}}$ (provided $Z_m \asymp Z$ for all $m = 1, \dots, M$), and under assumption (9)* and in the non-relativistic case $d \geq Z^{-\frac{5}{21} + \delta}$.

Discussion: corollaries

- ① Like in non-relativistic case the same results hold in the **free nuclei model** when E_N is replaced by

$$\hat{E}_N = E_N + \sum_{1 \leq m < m' \leq M} \frac{Z_m Z_{m'} e^2}{|y_m - y_{m'}|}, \quad (41)$$

which is minimized by y_m . Then the minimal distance between nuclei $d \gtrsim Z^{-\frac{5}{21}}$ (provided $Z_m \asymp Z$ for all $m = 1, \dots, M$), and under assumption (9)* and in the non-relativistic case $d \geq Z^{-\frac{5}{21} + \delta}$.

- ② Like in non-relativistic case all correction terms can be calculated for atoms, and if $(Z - N)_+ \ll Z$, for neutral atoms.

Discussion: corollaries

- ① Like in non-relativistic case the same results hold in the **free nuclei model** when E_N is replaced by

$$\hat{E}_N = E_N + \sum_{1 \leq m < m' \leq M} \frac{Z_m Z_{m'} e^2}{|y_m - y_{m'}|}, \quad (41)$$

which is minimized by y_m . Then the minimal distance between nuclei $d \gtrsim Z^{-\frac{5}{21}}$ (provided $Z_m \asymp Z$ for all $m = 1, \dots, M$), and under assumption (9)* and in the non-relativistic case $d \geq Z^{-\frac{5}{21} + \delta}$.

- ② Like in non-relativistic case all correction terms can be calculated for atoms, and if $(Z - N)_+ \ll Z$, for neutral atoms.
- ③ Under assumption (9)* we have estimates (35) and even (35)* for $D(\rho_\Psi - \rho, \rho_\Psi - \rho)$, and we can estimate excessive negative charge, or excessive positive charge in the molecule in the free nuclei model, or estimate the difference between ν and ionization energy.

Self generated magnetic field:set-up

Consider now operator with a magnetic field i. e. with non-relativistic one-particle kinetic energy operator

$$T = \frac{1}{2m} P^2 \quad (42)$$

and relativistic one-particle kinetic energy operator

$$T = (c^2 P^2 + m^2 c^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} - mc^2 \quad (43)$$

where

$$P = ((i\nabla - eA) \cdot \sigma) \quad (44)$$

and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$, σ_j are Pauli matrices.

Non-relativistic case

In the non-relativistic case **self-generated** magnetic field A was considered, i.e. to E is added the **energy of the magnetic field**

$$E(A) = \inf \text{Spec}(H_N) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\alpha} \int |\nabla \times A|^2 dx}_{\text{energy of the magnetic field}} \quad (45)$$

with $H_N = H_{N,A,V}$, and the result is minimized by A :

$$E^* := \inf_{A \in \mathcal{H}_0^1} E(A). \quad (46)$$

In [EFS1] it was proven that for $\alpha Z_m < \kappa$ (some unknown constant $\kappa > 0$, may be even ∞) \mathcal{E}^{TF} , while Scott correction term is $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\alpha Z_m)$.

The remainder estimate was perfected in [Ivr1], Chapter 27.

The remainder estimate was perfected in [Ivr1], Chapter 27.

The crucial step was the proof that the minimizer exists (but we do not know if it is unique) and satisfies after rescaling equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\kappa h^2} \Delta A_j(x) &= \Phi_j := \\ &- \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} \left[\sigma_j \left((hD - A)_x \cdot \sigma e(x, y, \tau) + e(x, y, \tau)^t (hD - A)_y \cdot \sigma \right) \right] \Big|_{y=x}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $e(x, y, \tau)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projector $\theta(-H)$ of $H = H_{A,V}$ and tr is a matrix trace.

The remainder estimate was perfected in [Ivr1], Chapter 27.

The crucial step was the proof that the minimizer exists (but we do not know if it is unique) and satisfies after rescaling equation

$$\frac{2}{\kappa h^2} \Delta A_j(x) = \Phi_j := -\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} \left[\sigma_j \left((hD - A)_x \cdot \sigma e(x, y, \tau) + e(x, y, \tau)^t (hD - A)_y \cdot \sigma \right) \right] \Big|_{y=x}, \quad (47)$$

where $e(x, y, \tau)$ is the Schwartz kernel of the spectral projector $\theta(-H)$ of $H = H_{A,V}$ and tr is a matrix trace.

Then, using newly developed methods of semiclassical microlocal analysis, certain estimates to A and $e(\cdot, \cdot, \tau)$ were proven, which led to estimates of the trace.

Relativistic case

Consider the same problem in the relativistic case, assuming (9)* and

$$\alpha Z_m \leq \kappa \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \beta Z_m \right)^{3/2} \quad \forall m = 1, \dots, M. \quad (48)$$

Again, we prove that the minimizer exists (but we do not know if it is unique) and satisfies after rescaling equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\kappa h^2} \Delta A_j(x) &= \Phi_j := \\ &- \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} \left[\int_0^\infty \sigma_j ((hD - A)_x \cdot \sigma) e^{-\lambda S} e(\cdot, \cdot, 0) e^{-\lambda S} d\lambda \right] \Big|_{x=y} \\ &- \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr} \left[\int_0^\infty \sigma_j e^{-\lambda S} e(\cdot, \cdot, 0) e^{-\lambda S} {}^t((hD - A)_y \cdot \sigma) d\lambda \right] \Big|_{x=y} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where

$$S = \beta^2 (T + \beta^{-2}) = ((\beta^2 (hD - A) \cdot \sigma)^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (50)$$

Then, using the same methods of semiclassical microlocal analysis, we prove the similar estimates to A and $e(\cdot, \cdot, \tau)$, which lead to the same trace estimates.

Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.

Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.
- 2 Then we apply those results to the original multiparticle problem.

Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.
- 2 Then we apply those results to the original multiparticle problem.
- 3 There is a significant difference in the atomic case ($M = 1$) and the molecular case ($M \geq 2$); in the latter we need to **decouple** magnetic field between nuclei.

Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.
- 2 Then we apply those results to the original multiparticle problem.
- 3 There is a significant difference in the atomic case ($M = 1$) and the molecular case ($M \geq 2$); in the latter we need to **decouple** magnetic field between nuclei.
- 4 Those results enable us to estimate the maximal number of extra electrons an atom or molecule can bind and find an estimate of the ionization energy.

Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.
- 2 Then we apply those results to the original multiparticle problem.
- 3 There is a significant difference in the atomic case ($M = 1$) and the molecular case ($M \geq 2$); in the latter we need to **decouple** magnetic field between nuclei.
- 4 Those results enable us to estimate the maximal number of extra electrons an atom or molecule can bind and find an estimate of the ionization energy.
- 5 Further, they enable us to consider positively charged systems and find either an estimate or asymptotics of the ionization energy.





Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.
- 2 Then we apply those results to the original multiparticle problem.
- 3 There is a significant difference in the atomic case ($M = 1$) and the molecular case ($M \geq 2$); in the latter we need to **decouple** magnetic field between nuclei.
- 4 Those results enable us to estimate the maximal number of extra electrons an atom or molecule can bind and find an estimate of the ionization energy.
- 5 Further, they enable us to consider positively charged systems and find either an estimate or asymptotics of the ionization energy.
- 6 Finally, in the free nuclei model we can estimate from below the distance between nuclei, and the excessive positive charge when atom can bind into molecule.




Discussion

- 1 The Scott correction term is now $q \sum_m Z_m^2 S(\beta Z_m, \alpha Z_m)$.
- 2 Then we apply those results to the original multiparticle problem.
- 3 There is a significant difference in the atomic case ($M = 1$) and the molecular case ($M \geq 2$); in the latter we need to **decouple** magnetic field between nuclei.
- 4 Those results enable us to estimate the maximal number of extra electrons an atom or molecule can bind and find an estimate of the ionization energy.
- 5 Further, they enable us to consider positively charged systems and find either an estimate or asymptotics of the ionization energy.
- 6 Finally, in the free nuclei model we can estimate from below the distance between nuclei, and the excessive positive charge when atom can bind into molecule.
- 7 See results and more references in [Ivr3].

Reference I

-  V. Bach. *Error bound for the Hartree-Fock energy of atoms and molecules*. Commun. Math. Phys. 147:527–548 (1992).
-  L. Erdös, S. Fournais, J. P. Solovej, *Scott correction for large atoms and molecules in a self-generated magnetic field*. Commun. Math. Physics, 312(3):847–882 (2012).
-  I. Daubechies. *An uncertainty principle for fermions with generalized kinetic energy*. Commun. Math. Phys. 90(4):511–520 (1983).
-  L. Erdös, S. Fournais, J. P. Solovej, *Scott correction for large atoms and molecules in a self-generated magnetic field*. Commun. Math. Physics, 312(3):847–882 (2012).

Reference II

-  L. Erdős, S. Fournais, J. P. Solovej, *Relativistic Scott correction in self-generated magnetic fields*. Journal of Mathematical Physics 53, 095202 (2012), 27pp.
-  R. L. Frank, E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer. *Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional Schrödinger operators*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21(4), 925-950 (2008).
-  R. L. Frank, H. Siedentop, S. Warzel. *The ground state energy of heavy atoms: relativistic lowering of the leading energy correction*. Comm. Math. Phys. 278(2):549-566 (2008).

Reference III



G. M. Graf, J. P Solovej. *A correlation estimate with applications to quantum systems with Coulomb interactions* Rev. Math. Phys., 6(5a):977–997 (1994). Reprinted in The state of matter a volume dedicated to E. H. Lieb, Advanced series in mathematical physics, 20, M. Aizenman and H. Araki (Eds.), 142–166, World Scientific (1994).



I. W. Herbst. *Spectral Theory of the Operator $(p+m^2)^{1/2} - Ze/r$* , Commun. Math. Phys. 53(3):285–294 (1977).



V. Ivrii. *Microlocal Analysis, Sharp Spectral, Asymptotics and Applications*.

<http://www.math.toronto.edu/ivrii/monsterbook.pdf>

- Chapter 25. Asymptotics of the ground state energy of heavy molecules;

Reference IV

- Chapter 27. Asymptotics of the ground state energy of heavy molecules in self-generated magnetic field.



V. Ivrii. *Asymptotics of the ground state energy in the relativistic settings*. arxiv:1707.07014



V. Ivrii. *Asymptotics of the ground state energy in the relativistic settings and with self-generated magnetic field*. arxiv:1708.07737





E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, J. P. Solovej: *Stability of Matter in Magnetic Fields*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75:985–989 (1995).



E. H. Lieb, W. E. Thirring, *Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities*, in Studies in Mathematical Physics (E. H. Lieb, B. Simon, and A. S. Wightman, eds.), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1976, pp. 269–303.

Reference V

-  E. H. Lieb, H. T. Yau. *The Stability and Instability of Relativistic Matter*. Commun. Math. Phys. 118(2): 177–213 (1988).
-  J. P. Solovej, T. Ø. Sørensen, W. L. Spitzer. *The relativistic Scott correction for atoms and molecules*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 63: 39–118 (2010).

Thank you!